

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Bex 145 Alexandra, Comma 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

| APPLICATION NO  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09 853,833  | 05 10 2001  | Salvatore Leonardi   | 856063.694          | 6456             |
| 500 "8  | 95 21 2003  |                      |                     |                  |
| SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC             |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 701 FIFTH AVE<br>SUITE 6300<br>SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092 |             | ANDUJAR, LEONARDO    |                     |                  |
|   |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|   |             |                      | 2826                | TATER NEWIDER    |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/853,833  | LEONARDI, SALVATORE   |  |  |  |
| ,  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Leonardo Andújar  | 2826  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment whicl<br>al (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel  | ation. A proper reply to a  |  |  |  |
|  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the mailing date of this and expire the mailing date of the mailing date of this and expire the mailing date of this and expire the mailing date of the mailing date of this and expire the mailing date of the mailing date o | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI   | s Brief must be filed within the pe<br>R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o  | eriod set forth in<br>f the appeal.   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause <sup>.</sup>   |   |  |  |  |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b   | pelow).   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or simplifying the  |  |  |  |
| (d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | tion(s)   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | parate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY to   | o issues which were newly   |  |  |  |
| 7. Solution For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |   |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>17 and 19</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 20.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is   | a) approved or b) disappr   | oved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer   | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Currently, claim 1 specifies that each trench is "lined with oxide only" and claim 20 specifies that the sidewalls are lined with a single insulating dielectric material to define a central cavity having an open bottom. These limitations require further consideration and/or search.